



# EYE-CLIMA

Verifying emissions  
of climate forcers

## Second EYE-CLIMA outlook summary

### DELIVERABLE 5.5

<b>Author(s):</b>	Glen Peters (CICERO), Robbie Andrew (CICERO), Wilfried Winiwarter (IIASA), Rona Thompson (NILU)
<b>Date of submission:</b>	31-01-2025
<b>Version:</b>	1.0
<b>Responsible partner:</b>	CICERO
<b>Deliverable due date:</b>	31-01-2025
<b>Dissemination level:</b>	Public
<b>Call:</b>	HORIZON-CL5-2022-D1-02
<b>Topic:</b>	Climate Sciences and Responses
<b>Project Type:</b>	Research and Innovation Action
<b>Lead Beneficiary:</b>	NILU - Norsk Institutt for Luftforskning



## Document History

Version	Date	Comment	Modifications made by
0.1	10-01-2025	First Draft: sent to executive team	Glen Peters (CICERO), Robbie Andrew (CICERO)
0.2	21-01-2025	Second draft with formatting sent to leadership team, with inputs from Wilfried Winiwarter (IIASA)	Glen Peters (CICERO), Robbie Andrew (CICERO), Wilfried Winiwarter (IIASA)
0.3	23-01-2025	Third draft with improvements from Rona Thompson	Rona Thompson (NILU)
1.0	31-01-2025	Final draft with minor improvements	Glen Peters (CICERO), Robbie Andrew (CICERO), Wilfried Winiwarter (IIASA), Rona Thompson (NILU)



## Summary

An “EYE-CLIMA Outlook” summarises the key findings and project outcomes from the preceding project year, which are most relevant for users. There will be four summaries published during EYE-CLIMA (January 2024, January 2025, January 2026, December 2026). This is deliverable is the second EYE-CLIMA Outlook Summary (January 2025).

This Outlook describes some of EYE-CLIMA’s work on the use of satellites in estimating emissions at high resolution and process-based modelling, in addition to a summary of the main deliverables from 2024.

The formal deliverable has a slightly different format to other deliverables (no introduction, methods, results, etc), and its content consists of the final outlook (in “fact sheet” format). The EYE-CLIMA Outlook Summary is the body of the deliverable (pages 4-7). A separate version of the outlook (only pages 4-7) will be used for standalone distribution.

There are no deviations from the description of work.





**EYE-CLIMA** aims to improve estimates of emissions and removals of the most important greenhouse gases: CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, N<sub>2</sub>O and six F-gases (i.e., synthetic gases containing fluorine), as well as aerosols containing black carbon to support European and international policies to reduce their emissions.

**EYE-CLIMA Outlooks** summarise the key findings and project outcomes from the preceding project year that are most relevant for users. This Outlook covers the second year of the project (2024). This Outlook describes some of EYE-CLIMA's work on the use of satellites in estimating emissions at high resolution and process-based modelling, in addition to a summary of the main deliverables from 2024.

All project information and deliverables are accessible at <https://eyeclima.eu/>

## Using high-resolution satellite instruments to determine methane emissions

Reducing fugitive emissions from fossil fuel production is a cost-effective way of reducing total CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, with additional opportunities to reduce agricultural and landfill CH<sub>4</sub> emissions. EYE-CLIMA develops methods to support monitoring of fossil fuel related and other point-source emissions of CH<sub>4</sub>.

Enormous progress has been made in detecting mega-emitters of CH<sub>4</sub> from gas and oil facilities, as well as from large landfills, from medium-resolution satellite instruments, such as TROPOMI. EYE-CLIMA builds on these technological advancements and develops a preoperational system for estimating emissions from mega-emitters and incorporating these estimates into emission inventories.

Furthermore, EYE-CLIMA uses high-resolution hyperspectral and multispectral satellites, such as PRISMA, EnMAP, and EMIT, as well as dedicated satellites, such as MethaneSAT and CarbonMapper to identify and quantify medium to large emission sources of CH<sub>4</sub>.



Sentinel 5P (Image credit: European Space Agency)

EYE-CLIMA works on improving the identification of CH<sub>4</sub> plumes from satellite observations in areas with high background mixing ratios, and quantifying the emissions, using machine learning and atmospheric transport modelling. Data from high-resolution satellite instruments are also combined with data from coarser-resolution satellite instruments, e.g., TROPOMI on Sentinel 5P. Emission estimates derived from satellite observations are included in emissions inventories.

In the initial phase of the project, most of the focus is on preparation and comparisons of different satellite data products, and the use of satellite observations in preliminary inversion estimates.

### Deliverable 1.2: TROPOMI, GOSAT and GOSAT-2 XCH<sub>4</sub> inter-comparisons

Methane (CH<sub>4</sub>) data from TROPOMI, GOSAT, and GOSAT-2 satellite instruments were compared against each other and assessed against ground-based TCCON data. TROPOMI's operational, SRON, and WFMD datasets agree well globally, with WFMD offering better coverage but showing larger differences in Europe. WFMD excels in detecting emission plumes but varies in quantifying emissions. GOSAT and GOSAT-2 datasets have lower coverage than TROPOMI, with proxy retrievals outperforming full physics methods in regional flux analysis, especially in Europe. All datasets have low biases (<20 ppb), with FOCAL and UoL-FP performing best for GOSAT and NIES leading for GOSAT-2.

For more information see the deliverable D1.2 available here:

<https://eyeclima.eu/products/public-reports/>


## Process-based modelling of GHG fluxes

EYE-CLIMA employs three state-of-the-art process-based ecosystem models to further the understanding of the impacts of specific land management practices (e.g. fertilizer application, fallow periods between crop rotations, grazing intensity, forest age structure and thinning intensity) on GHG fluxes from agro-systems.

Process-based models simulate water, energy, carbon and nitrogen dynamics at site to global scales and at high temporal resolution (e.g., half-hourly time steps). Vegetation is usually represented by multiple Plant Function Types (PFTs) and multiple carbon pools, and soil is usually disaggregated into different columns, with soil hydrology represented. Typical inputs to the models include temperature, precipitation, solar radiation, wind, and atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>. Most models cover management such as fertilization, tillage, harvest, and peat drainage.

In-situ flux and satellite land biosphere observations are used to calibrate key model parameters related to the transfers of carbon and nitrogen in the different soil-plant-atmosphere reservoirs. In later phases of the project, factorial experiments will be used to assess the contribution of different management practices on fluxes across Europe.

In the early phase of the project, the input data to the process models is improved, and the process model results are used as priors in the inversions.




Schematic showing the main input data and processes in the models of the land biosphere

## Deliverable 2.1: Input driving datasets for process-based models

Input datasets for simulating CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in Europe using ORCHIDEE, LPJ-GUESS, and JSBACH models have been described. Key datasets include ERA5-land climate data bias-corrected with CRU data (updated to 2022), HILDA+ land use/cover changes, SoilGrids for soil organic carbon, MIRCA2000 for cropland management, and GLW2 for livestock density. Forest management uses NFI and Pucher et al. (2022) datasets for forest age and height. Most datasets derive from prior projects like VERIFY and EYE-CLIMA. These inputs will be continuously updated to refine model calibration and validation.

For more information see the deliverable D2.1 available here: <https://eyeclima.eu/products/public-reports/>




The annual mean surface temperature forcing for 2021 (derived from ERA5-Land with CRU monthly bias correction).

### Deliverable 3.1: Preliminary flux estimates of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O at 0.5°

Atmospheric inversions of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes were performed for Europe (EU27+3), optimizing land biosphere fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> and fluxes of CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O. Inversions, based on ground-based and satellite data, currently span 2005–2022 for CO<sub>2</sub>, 2016–2021 for CH<sub>4</sub>, and 2015–2020 for N<sub>2</sub>O but will be expanded and updated to cover the period 2005–2023 by April 2025.


The inversions adjust a prior emission estimate (based on inventories and/or process-based models) by assimilating atmospheric observations.



Annual mean N<sub>2</sub>O posterior minus prior flux difference from the CIF-FLEXPART inversion at 0.5°×0.5° from 2015 to 2020.

For CH<sub>4</sub>, the posterior emission estimate is 25% higher compared to the prior, driven by emission increases in central and southern Europe. For N<sub>2</sub>O the posterior emissions were increased relative to the prior in western Europe, particularly in the UK and Benelux countries. CO<sub>2</sub> inversions confirm European ecosystems as sinks, but uncertainties persist.

For more information see the deliverable D3.1 available here: <https://eyeclima.eu/products/public-reports/>




Monthly mean net ecosystem exchange (NEE) fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub> integrated over EU27 plus UK. Data from two prior NEE estimates (dashed lines) and different inversion estimates (solid lines) are shown. The black line indicates the fossil fuel emission estimate used in the inversion.

### Deliverable 3.3: Preliminary fluxes of CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> and N<sub>2</sub>O at 0.2° from 2018

The feasibility and performance of high-resolution (0.2°×0.2°) atmospheric inversions for CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and N<sub>2</sub>O fluxes in Europe were evaluated. The inversions show how the prior emission estimate is shifted to the posterior estimate based on observations. CH<sub>4</sub> inversions reveal an increase in emissions in the posterior relative to prior in Central Europe and reductions in southern and northern regions, partly correcting overestimated prior geological emissions. The N<sub>2</sub>O emissions a posteriori show a 21% overall reduction, with decreases in southern and central regions but increases in the UK and parts of northwestern Europe, relative to the prior. For CO<sub>2</sub>, the computations at 0.2°×0.2° proved to be too computationally demanding with the current version of CIF-CHIMERE thus work is underway to run CHIMERE on GPUs to shorten the computation time.

For more information see the deliverable D3.3 available here: <https://eyeclima.eu/products/public-reports/>




Seasonal mean spatial distribution of CH<sub>4</sub> estimates from CIF-FLEXPART inversion at a higher resolution of 0.2°×0.2° grid in the year 2019: prior (left panel), posterior (middle panel) and posterior increments computed as (posterior – prior) (right panel).

## Paper and Deliverable 4.4: Carbon and greenhouse gas budgets of Europe: trends, interannual and spatial variability


European greenhouse gas (GHG) fluxes ( $\text{CO}_2$ ,  $\text{CH}_4$ ,  $\text{N}_2\text{O}$ ) were synthesized, combining bottom-up (BU) and top-down (TD) estimates from 1990–2019 from previous projects. BU estimates detail emissions by sector, distinguishing anthropogenic emissions and land-atmosphere exchanges. TD analyses use global and regional inversions ( $0.5^\circ$  resolution) to refine spatial patterns of sources and sinks across Europe. The study highlights spatiotemporal variability, local emission hotspots, and trends in fossil  $\text{CO}_2$  and land fluxes. Results emphasize interannual variability in Europe's GHG budget and contribute to ongoing climate assessments.

This deliverable is now published as Lauerwald et al., Carbon and greenhouse gas budgets of Europe: trends, interannual and spatial variability, and their drivers, *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 2024, and has been used to develop the EYE-CLIMA first Progress on Targets Report. The full report is available here:

<https://eyeclima.eu/products/policy-briefs/>



Evolution of European  $\text{CO}_2$  budget over the last three decades (Lauerwald et al. 2024).



©@EYE\_CLIMA • Data: UNFCCC (2023); UNFCCC uncertainty: EEA, ETC CM; RECCAP2: Lauerwald et al (2024)


Summary of different land  $\text{CO}_2$  emission estimates from the EYE-CLIMA Progress to Targets report.

## Paper: A global analysis $\text{SF}_6$ emissions and concentrations

Global emissions of sulfur hexafluoride ( $\text{SF}_6$ ), a potent greenhouse gas, were analysed from 2005 to 2021 using inverse modeling, using observations and simulations to estimate emissions. Key findings include: 1) US emissions reduced by half relative to 2005, but were still twice the reported values; 2) EU emissions declined, especially after 2018 due to stricter regulations; 3) China's emissions quadrupled, surpassing global trends; 4) National reports underestimated emissions the US, EU, and China; 5) Emissions are rising in poorly monitored areas like India and Africa; 6) Global totals align with past estimates but depend on the priors; 7) Northern Hemisphere emissions peak in summer.

Vojta et al. (2024), *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*

<https://acp.copernicus.org/articles/24/12465/2024/>



Estimated  $\text{SF}_6$  emission from the inverse modelling (combining prior emission estimates and observations).

<https://eyeclima.eu>

**BRUSSELS, 31-01-2025**

*Funded by the European Union. Views and opinions expressed are however those of the author(s) only and do not necessarily reflect those of the European Union. Neither the European Union nor the granting authority can be held responsible for them.*

